arXiv:1610.09544v1 [math.RT] 29 Oct 2016

CATEGORY $\mathcal{J}$ MODULES FOR HAMILTONIAN VECTOR FIELDS ON A TORUS

JOHN TALBOOM

Abstract. Modules for the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields on a torus, which admit a compatible action for the commutative algebra of multivariate Laurent polynomials are called category $\mathcal{J}$. This paper classifies the indecomposable and the irreducible modules in category $\mathcal{J}$.

Key Words: Indecomposable representations; Irreducible representations; Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields; Weight module

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B10, 17B66

1. Introduction

An important class of simple infinite dimensional Lie algebras are the Lie algebras of Cartan type, which arise in the study of vector fields on a manifold. These are categorized into four series, the general, special, Hamiltonian, and contact, denoted W_N, S_N, H_N and K_N respectively. Type W_N is the Lie algebra of derivations of $Q_N = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$, whose elements are vector fields of the form $X = \sum_{i=1}^N f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ where $f_i \in Q_N$ (some sources allow Q_N to be all formal power series, $\mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_N]]$). The remaining three series are defined by the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_X\omega$, of a specific differential form ω with respect to vector field X. Specifically $S_N = \{X|\mathcal{L}_X(dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_N) = 0\}$, $H_{2m} = \{X|\mathcal{L}_X(\sum_{i=1}^m dx_i \wedge dx_{m+i}) = 0\}$ and $K_{2m+1} = \{X|\mathcal{L}_X(\omega) = P\omega\}$, where $\omega = dx_{2m+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m x_{m+i}dx_i - x_i dx_{m+i}$ and $P \in Q_{2m+1}$ (see [6] for more details).

Recent works have studied the representation theory of the Lie algebra of derivations $\mathrm{Der}(A_N)$, where $A_N = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_N^{\pm 1}]$. Elements of $\mathrm{Der}(A_N)$ can be identified with the polynomial vector fields on a torus (see Section 2). An interesting class of modules, called $(A_N, \mathrm{Der}(A_N))$-modules is considered in [5], which are modules that admit a compatible action by both $\mathrm{Der}(A_N)$ and the commutative algebra A_N. In said paper Eswara Rao classifies all irreducible $(A_N, \mathrm{Der}(A_N))$-modules with finite dimensional weight spaces. These modules are presented in [1] as category $\mathcal{J}$, and the classification is extended to all indecomposable modules by showing that $\mathrm{Der}(A_N)$ has polynomial action.

A similar result is achieved in [2], again using the strategy of showing polynomial action, where category $\mathcal{J}$ is considered for $\mathcal{S}_N$, the divergence zero vector fields on a torus, which is the analogue of the special series in $\mathrm{Der}(A_N)$. An inductive proof is used to show that the general case follows from the case $N = 2$, which turns out to be exceptional.

The current paper now considers category $\mathcal{J}$ for the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields on an N dimensional torus, denoted $\mathcal{H}_N$, where N is even. The goal is to classify all indecomposable and all irreducible category $\mathcal{J}$ modules. Theorem 4.4 is the main result and is summarized below (the action of $\mathcal{H}_N$ will be given later).

Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{H}_N^+$ be the vector fields in H_N with non-negative degree, and $\mathfrak{h}_m$ the $2m+1$ dimensional Heisenberg algebra. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ a subcategory of modules in $\mathcal{J}$ supported on $\lambda+\mathbb{Z}^N$, where $N = 2m \geq 2$. There is an equivalence of categories between the category of finite dimensional modules for $\mathfrak{H}_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_m$ and $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$. This equivalence maps V to $A_N \otimes V$ where V is a finite dimensional module for $\mathfrak{H}_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_m$.*

To obtain this result the general strategy of [2] is applied. Since $\mathcal{H}_2 \cong \mathcal{S}_2$, the basis of induction has already been shown (see [2] Proposition 3.7). Irreducible representations for the case $N = 2$ are studied in [4] by Jiang and Lin and, although not shown here, their paper provides a crucial step in proving the $N = 2$ case (see [2] Lemma 3.1).

This paper is organized in the following way. Section 2 will present Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}_N$ and define its category $\mathcal{J}$ modules. It will be shown here that the action of $\mathcal{H}_N$ on any module from category $\mathcal{J}$ is determined completely by the action restricted to a single weight space V. Section 3 is main part of the proof for the classification theorem. Starting with the previously proven $N = 2$ case as the basis of induction, it is shown that $\mathcal{H}_N$ acts by certain $\mathrm{End}(V)$-valued polynomials for all N. In Section 4 the polynomial action of $\mathcal{H}_N$ is seen to be a representation of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{H}_N^+$ and $\mathfrak{h}_m$, and the main result can be stated. For simple modules of category $\mathcal{J}$ the action simplifies considerably. Section 5 is devoted to irreducible representations, and exhibits the main result when only simple modules are considered.

2. Preliminaries

Let $A_N = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_N^{\pm 1}]$ be the algebra of Laurent polynomials over $\mathbb{C}$. Elements of A_N are presented with multi-index notation $t^r = t_1^{r_1} \ldots t_N^{r_N}$ where $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_N\}$ denote the standard basis for $\mathbb{Z}^N$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, $|k| = k_1 + \cdots + k_N$, $k! = k_1! \ldots k_N!$ and $\binom{r}{k} = \frac{r!}{k!(r-k)!}$. Denote the set of non-negative integers by $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

For $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, let $d_i = t_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}$. The derivations of A_N, $\mathrm{Der}(A_N) = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ t^r d_i | i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^N \right\}$, forms a Lie algebra called the Witt algebra denoted here by $\mathcal{W}_N$, with Lie bracket $[t^r d_i, t^s d_j] = s_i t^{r+s} d_j - r_j t^{r+s} d_i$. By Setting $t_j = e^{\sqrt{-1} x_j}$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, $\mathcal{W}_N$ may be identified with the Lie algebra of (complex-valued) polynomial vector fields on an N dimensional torus, where x_j is the jth angular coordinate.

The focus of this paper is on the subalgebra of Hamiltonian vector fields $\mathcal{H}_N$. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^N f_i d_i \in \mathcal{W}_N$ and from now on let $N = 2m$. The change of coordinates $t_j = e^{\sqrt{-1} x_j}$, gives $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial t_j}{\partial x_j} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} = \sqrt{-1} t_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} = \sqrt{-1} d_j$.

Thus X can be expressed $X = -\sqrt{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{N} f_j(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$. The defining property, $\mathcal{L}_X(\sum_{i=1}^{m} dx_i \wedge dx_{m+i}) = 0$, of $\mathcal{H}_N$ yields that for $i = 1, \ldots, m$, $f_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{m+i}} = \sqrt{-1}t_{m+i}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_{m+i}}$ and $f_{m+i} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} = -\sqrt{-1}t_i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_i}$ for some $u \in A_N$, or $X = d_i$. Thus $\mathcal{H}_N$ is spanned by elements $X_u = \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{m+i}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_{m+i}}d_i - t_i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_i}d_{m+i}$, and d_i. Obtain homogeneous elements by letting $u = t^r$, for $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, and set $h(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{m+i}t^r d_i - r_i t^r d_{m+i}$. So

$$\mathcal{H}_N = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{d_i, h(r), i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^N\}$$

and has commutative Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{d_j | j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}\}$. The Lie bracket in terms of homogeneous elements is given by $[d_i, h(r)] = r_i h(r)$ and

$$[h(r), h(s)] = \sum_{i=1}^{m}(r_{m+i}s_i - r_i s_{m+i})h(r+s).$$

Note that $h(0) = 0$, and $[h(r), h(-r)] = 0$.

Families of modules called category $\mathcal{J}$, have been defined for $\mathcal{W}_N$ and $\mathcal{S}_N$ in [1] and [2] respectively. An analogous category of modules for $\mathcal{H}_N$ is defined as follows:

Definition 2.1. *Let $N \geq 2$ with N even. An $\mathcal{H}_N$-module J belongs to category $\mathcal{J}$ if the following properties hold:*

(J1) *The action of d_i on J is diagonalizable for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$.*

(J2) *Module J is a free A_N-module of finite rank.*

(J3) *For any $X \in \mathcal{H}_N, f \in A_N$ and $v \in J$, $X(fv) = (Xf)v + f(Xv)$.*

Any submodule of $J \in \mathcal{J}$ must be invariant under the actions of both A_N and $\mathcal{H}_N$. By (J2) any module in $\mathcal{J}$ is a finite direct sum of indecomposable modules, hence the goal is to classify indecomposable modules $J \in \mathcal{J}$. Property (J1) decomposes J into weight spaces, $J = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N} J_\lambda$ where $J_\lambda = \{v \in J | d_i v = \lambda_i v\}$. Note that $h(r)J_\lambda \subset J_{\lambda+r}$ since,

$$d_i(h(r)v) = h(r)d_i v + [d_i, h(r)]v = (\lambda_i + r_i)h(r)v$$

for $v \in J_\lambda$. Similarly by (J3) $t^r J_\lambda \subset J_{\lambda+r}$. These two relations partition the weights of J into $\mathbb{Z}^N$-cosets of $\mathbb{C}^N$, and decompose J into a direct sum of submodules, each corresponding to a distinct coset. Thus if J is indecomposable its set of weights is one such coset $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}^N$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and $J = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^N} J_{\lambda+r}$.

Let $V = J_\lambda$. The invertible map $t^r : V \to J_{\lambda+r}$ identifies all weight spaces with V and since J is a free module for the associative algebra A_N it follows that any basis for V is also basis for J viewed as a free A_N-module. The finite rank condition of (J2) implies that V must be finite dimensional. This yields that $J \cong A_N \otimes V$. Homogeneous elements of J will be denoted $t^s \otimes v$, for $s \in \mathbb{Z}^N, v \in V$.

The action of $h(r)$ on J maps $1 \otimes V \to t^r \otimes V$. This induces an endomorphism $H(r)$ on V given by

$$H(r)v = (t^{-r} \circ h(r))v.$$

The action in (J3) can then be expressed as

$$h(r)(t^s \otimes v) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (r_{m+i}s_i - r_i s_{m+i}) t^{r+s} \otimes v + t^{r+s} \otimes H(r)v. \tag{1}$$

Thus the action of $H(r)$ on V determines the action of $h(r)$ on J.

The Lie bracket for $H(r)$ terms is

$$\begin{aligned}
&[H(r), H(s)] \\
&= [t^{-r}h(r), t^{-s}h(s)] \\
&= t^{-r}(h(r)(t^{-s}))h(s) - t^{-s}(h(s)(t^{-r}))h(r) + t^{-r-s}[h(r), h(s)] \\
&= t^{-r}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{m+i}(-s_i) - r_i(-s_{m+i})\right) t^{r-s}h(s) \\
&\quad - t^{-s}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{m+i}(-r_i) - s_i(-r_{m+i})\right) t^{s-r}h(r) \\
&\quad + t^{-r-s}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(r_{m+i}s_i - r_i s_{m+i})h(r+s) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m}(r_i s_{m+i} - r_{m+i}s_i)(H(r) + H(s) - H(r+s)).
\end{aligned}$$

3. Polynomial Action

The concept of a polynomial module was introduced in [1] for classifying category $\mathcal{J}$ modules for $\mathcal{W}_N$. This technique was applied in [2] to the $\mathcal{S}_N$ case and will be used again here. The main idea is to show that $H(r)$ acts on V by an $\mathrm{End}(V)$-valued polynomial in r with constant term zero. Specifically,

Proposition 3.1. *Let $N \geq 2$ (with N even) and let $J = A_N \otimes V$ be an $\mathcal{H}_N$-module in category $\mathcal{J}$. Then $H(r)$ acts on V by*

$$H(r) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P^{(k)} - \delta_{r,0} P^{(0)},$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, where $P^{(r)} \in End(V)$ and do not depend on r, and the summation is finite.

The Kronecker delta function is to ensure that $H(0) = 0$. This will be proven by induction on N with the base case $N = 2$ already shown in [2] since $\mathcal{H}_2 \cong \mathcal{S}_2$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $N = 2$ and $J = A_2 \otimes V$ be a module for $\mathcal{H}_2$ in category $\mathcal{J}$. Then $H(r)$ acts on V by*

$$H(r) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P^{(k)} - \delta_{r,0} P^{(0)},$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, where $P^{(r)} \in End(V)$ and do not depend on r, and the summation is finite.

See [2], Proposition 3.7 for details, where it is shown that the action of $H(r)$ may be interpolated by an $\mathrm{End}(V)$-valued polynomial in r at all points in the plane except for the origin.

The fact that two (multivariate) polynomials are equal if they agree on a cube of arbitrary size was used repeatedly in [2] as it will be used here. The following Lemma will be required and is presented here without proof (cf. [2] Lemma 3.5).

Lemma 3.3. *Let $S = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_N \in \mathbb{C}^N$, where each S_i is a set with $K + 1$ elements, and let F and G be polynomials of degree at most K in N variables, $X_1, \ldots, X_N$, that agree on S. Then $F = G$.*

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Proceed by induction on $N = 2m$ with basis of induction given by Lemma 3.2. Let $\tilde{r}_i = r - r_i e_i - r_{m+i} e_{m+i}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. By induction hypothesis $H(\tilde{r}_i)$ acts by an $\mathrm{End}(V)$-valued polynomial in r for $\tilde{r}_i \neq 0$. Let $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ with $k \neq i$. Then $H(e_i + e_k)$ and $H(e_i + e_{m+k})$ also act by an $\mathrm{End}(V)$-valued polynomials in r since $e_i + e_k$ and $e_i + e_{m+k}$ are constant. Then

$$[H(\tilde{r}_i), H(e_i + e_k)] = -r_{m+k}(H(\tilde{r}_i) + H(e_i + e_k) - H(\tilde{r}_i + e_i + e_k)),$$

and

$$[H(\tilde{r}_i), H(e_i + e_{m+k})] = r_k(H(\tilde{r}_i) + H(e_i + e_{m+k}) - H(\tilde{r}_i + e_i + e_{m+k})).$$

Rearranging these equations gives

$$r_{m+k} H(\tilde{r}_i + e_i + e_k) = r_{m+k}(H(\tilde{r}_i) + H(e_i + e_k)) + [H(\tilde{r}_i), H(e_i + e_k)],$$

and

$$r_k H(\tilde{r}_i + e_i + e_{m+k}) = r_k(H(\tilde{r}_i) + H(e_i + e_{m+k})) - [H(\tilde{r}_i), H(e_i + e_{m+k})],$$

so that the terms on the right side act by an $\mathrm{End}(V)$-valued polynomial in r for $\tilde{r}_i \neq 0$. Thus $r_{m+k} H(\tilde{r}_i + e_i + e_k)$ and $r_k H(\tilde{r}_i + e_i + e_{m+k})$ act by polynomial when $\tilde{r}_i \neq 0$, and hence for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. It can be shown in a similar fashion that both $r_{m+k} H(\tilde{r}_i + e_{m+i} + e_k)$ and $r_k H(\tilde{r}_i + e_{m+i} + e_{m+k})$ act as polynomials for all r. This holds for all $i \neq k$, and in particular it follows that $r_i H(r_i + r_{m+i} + e_{m+i} + e_{m+k})$ acts by polynomial for all r.

Now consider the Lie bracket

$$\begin{aligned}&[r_{m+k}H(\tilde{r}_i+e_i+e_k), r_iH(r_ie_i+r_{m+i}e_{m+i}+e_{m+i}+e_{m+k})]\\&= r_{m+k}r_i(r_{m+i}+1)(r_k+1)\left(H(\tilde{r}_i+e_i+e_k)\right.\\&\quad\left.+H(r_ie_i+r_{m+i}e_{m+i}+e_{m+i}+e_{m+k})-H(r+e_i+e_{m+i}+e_k+e_{m+k})\right).\end{aligned}$$

Isolating the term

$$r_{m+k}r_i(r_{m+i}+1)(r_k+1)H(r+e_i+e_{m+i}+e_k+e_{m+k})$$

on the right side shows that it must act by polynomial, call it Q_1, for all $r\in\mathbb{Z}^N$ since all other terms do. Similarly form the Lie brackets

$$\begin{aligned}&[r_kH(\tilde{r}_i+e_i+e_{m+k}), r_iH(r_ie_i+r_{m+i}e_{m+i}+e_{m+i}+e_k)],\\&[r_{m+k}H(\tilde{r}_i+e_{m+i}+e_k), r_{m+i}H(r_ie_i+r_{m+i}e_{m+i}+e_i+e_{m+k})],\\&[r_kH(\tilde{r}_i+e_{m+i}+e_{m+k}), r_{m+i}H(r_ie_i+r_{m+i}e_{m+i}+e_i+e_k)]\end{aligned}$$

to show that

$$\begin{aligned}&r_kr_i(r_{m+i}+1)(r_{m+k}+1)H(r+e_i+e_{m+i}+e_k+e_{m+k}),\\&r_{m+k}r_{m+i}(r_i+1)(r_k+1)H(r+e_i+e_{m+i}+e_k+e_{m+k}),\\&r_kr_{m+i}(r_i+1)(r_{m+k}+1)H(r+e_i+e_{m+i}+e_k+e_{m+k})\end{aligned}$$

act by polynomials Q_2, Q_3, and Q_4 respectively, for all $r\in\mathbb{Z}^N$.

Note that

$$r_k(r_{m+k}+1)Q_1 = r_{m+k}(r_k+1)Q_2$$

which implies that both r_{m+k} and r_k+1 are factors of Q_1 since they do not divide $r_k(r_{m+k}+1)$ (and both r_k and $r_{m+k}+1$ are factors of Q_2). Comparing Q_1 and Q_3,

$$r_{m+i}(r_i+1)Q_1 = r_i(r_{m+i}+1)Q_3,$$

and so both r_i and $r_{m+i}+1$ are factors of Q_1 since they do not divide $r_{m+i}(r_i+1)$. Thus

$$Q_1 = r_{m+k}r_i(r_{m+i}+1)(r_k+1)P_1$$

for some polynomial P_1, and hence $H(r+e_i+e_{m+i}+e_k+e_{m+k}) = P_1$ for $r_i, r_{m+k}\neq 0$ and $r_{m+i}, r_k\neq -1$. By continuing this process of equating the Q_i with appropriate linear factors, it can be shown that $H(r+e_i+e_{m+i}+e_k+e_{m+k})$ acts by a polynomial P_2 on the region $\{r_i, r_k\neq 0\}\cap\{r_{m+i}, r_{m+k}\neq -1\}$, P_3 on the region $\{r_{m+i}, r_{m+k}\neq 0\}\cap\{r_i, r_k\neq -1\}$, and P_4 on the region $\{r_{m+i}, r_k\neq 0\}\cap\{r_i, r_{m+k}\neq -1\}$.

Since these four regions are obtained by deleting a finite number of hyperplanes from $\mathbb{Z}^N$, their intersection contains a cube of arbitrary size. By Lemma 3.3, since P_1, P_2, P_3 and P_4 agree on this cube they must be equal, and so $H(r+e_i+e_{m+i}+e_k+e_{m+k})$ acts by polynomial on the union of the four regions, which is $\{(r_i, r_{m+i})\neq(0,0),(-1,-1)\}\cap\{(r_k, r_{m+k})\neq(0,0),(-1,-1)\}$. Shifting coordinates, this implies that $H(r)$ acts by polynomial P on the region

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(r_i, r_{m+i})\neq(0,0),(1,1)\}\cap\{(r_k, r_{m+k})\neq(0,0),(1,1)\}.$$

What remains is to extend this region to all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the Lie algebra spanned by elements $H(r)$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, and consider the automorphisms $\sigma_i : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$ given by

$$\sigma_i(H(r)) = H(r_1, \ldots, -r_i, \ldots, -r_{m+i}, \ldots, r_N),$$

for $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. The region $\mathcal{R}$ may be expanded by considering automorphisms σ_i of $\mathcal{L}$ since whatever was proven for $\mathcal{L}$ will also be true of its image under σ_i. Thus $\sigma_i(H(r))$ acts by polynomial $\bar{P}$ on $\mathcal{R}$ which implies that $H(r)$ acts by $\bar{P}$ on $\{(r_i, r_{m+i}) \neq (0,0), (-1,-1)\} \cap \{(r_k, r_{m+k}) \neq (0,0), (1,1)\}$. Lemma 3.3 may be applied to the intersection of these regions, showing that $P = \bar{P}$ there, and hence $H(r)$ acts by P on their union $\mathcal{R}' = \{(r_i, r_{m+i}) \neq (0,0)\} \cap \{(r_k, r_{m+k}) \neq (0,0), (1,1)\}$. In the same way, applying σ_k to $\mathcal{R}$ yields that $H(r)$ acts by P on the region $\mathcal{R}_{i,k} = \{(r_i, r_{m+i}) \neq (0,0)\} \cap \{(r_k, r_{m+k}) \neq (0,0)\}$.

Now apply Lemma 3.3 to the intersection of regions $\mathcal{R}_{i,k}$ for various k to show that $H(r)$ acts by P on

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \bigcup_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^{m} \mathcal{R}_{i,k} = \{(r_i, r_{m+i}) \neq (0,0)\} \cap \{\tilde{r}_i \neq 0\}.$$

Applied again to these regions shows that $H(r)$ acts by P on

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{R}_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \{\tilde{r}_i \neq 0\}.$$

Fix $s \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ and let $\bar{s}_k = s_k e_k + s_{m+k} e_{m+k}$ for $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Further assume that $\bar{s}_k \neq 0$. Then

$$[H(\bar{s}_k), H(r - \bar{s}_k)] = (s_k r_{m+k} - s_{m+k} r_k)(H(\bar{s}_k) + H(r - \bar{s}_k) - H(r))$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}(s_k r_{m+k} - s_{m+k} r_k) H(r) = \\ (s_k r_{m+k} - s_{m+k} r_k)(H(\bar{s}_k) + H(r - \bar{s}_k)) - [H(\bar{s}_k), H(r - \bar{s}_k)].\end{aligned}$$

From what was proven above, all operators on the right side of this equation act by polynomial on $\cap_{i=1}^{m} \{\tilde{r}_i \neq \bar{s}_k\}$, and hence $(s_k r_{m+k} - s_{m+k} r_k) H(r)$ acts by polynomial T on this region. Similarly for $s' \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, $(s'_k r_{m+k} - s'_{m+k} r_k) H(r)$ acts by polynomial T' on $\cap_{i=1}^{m} \{\tilde{r}_i \neq \bar{s}'_k\}$ and on the intersection of these regions

$$(s'_k r_{m+k} - s'_{m+k} r_k) T = (s_k r_{m+k} - s_{m+k} r_k) T',$$

implying that $(s_k r_{m+k} - s_{m+k} r_k)$ is a factor of T. Thus $H(r)$ acts by on polynomial when $(s_k r_{m+k} - s_{m+k} r_k) \neq 0$ and hence acts by polynomial on the region

$$\mathcal{T}_{s,k} = \{s_k r_{m+k} \neq s_{m+k} r_k\} \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \{\tilde{r}_i \neq \bar{s}_k\} \right).$$

Again use Lemma 3.3 to patch together the regions which $H(r)$ acts by polynomial. First

$$\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m}\{\tilde{r}_i \neq 0\}\right) \cup \mathcal{T}_{s,k} = \{(r_k, r_{m+k}) \neq (0,0)\} \cap \{r \neq \bar{s}_k\}.$$

Then

$$\bigcup_{s\in\mathbb{Z}^N}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m}\{\tilde{r}_i \neq 0\}\right) \cup \mathcal{T}_{s,k} = \{(r_k, r_{m+k}) \neq (0,0)\},$$

and

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\{(r_k, r_{m+k}) \neq (0,0)\} = \{r \neq 0\}.$$

Thus $H(r)$ acts by $\mathrm{End}(V)$-valued polynomial in r for all $r \neq 0$. To include $r = 0$ and to accommodate the fact that $H(0) = 0$, a delta function is added, and so $H(r)$ may be expressed

$$H(r) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P^{(k)} - \delta_{r,0} P^{(0)},$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, where $P^{(r)} \in \mathrm{End}(V)$, and the sum is finite. □

4. Classification

The result of the previous section is that the action of the $H(r)$ operators are now in terms of the $P^{(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}$, which form a Lie algebra $\mathcal{P}_N$. To classify the modules in category $\mathcal{J}$ it is shown that $\mathcal{P}_N$ is a representation of some familiar Lie algebras, following the idea of [1] and [2].

To compute the Lie bracket in $\mathcal{P}_N$ use Proposition 3.1 and consider a region where $r, s \neq 0$ so that

$$[H(r), H(s)] = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^j s^k}{j!k!} [P^{(j)}, P^{(k)}]. \tag{2}$$

The left side of this evaluates to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}(r_i s_{m+i} - r_{m+i} s_i)(H(r) + H(s) - H(r+s))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m}(r_i s_{m+i} - r_{m+i} s_i)\left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^j}{j} P^{(j)} + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{s^k}{k} P^{(j)} - \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{(r+s)^\ell}{\ell} P^{(\ell)}\right).$$

Then $[P^{(j)}, P^{(k)}]$ is obtained by extracting the coefficient of $\frac{r^j s^k}{j!k!}$ above for various j and k. For $|j|, |k| > 1$

$$[P^{(j)}, P^{(k)}] = \sum_{i=1}^{m}(j_{m+i} k_i - j_i k_{m+i}) P^{(j+k-e_i-e_{m+i})}.$$

If $|j| \leq 1$ then $[P^{(j)}, P^{(k)}] = 0$ for all k with the exception that $[P^{(e_i)}, P^{(e_{m+i})}] = P^{(0)}$ (and the usual anticommutativity property holds).

Consider the Lie algebra of derivations of polynomials in N variables,

$$\mathrm{Der}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_N]) = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ x^r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a} \middle| a \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0} \right\}.$$

This has as a subalgebra the Hamiltonian algebra given by

$$\mathfrak{H}_N = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ X(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{m+i} x^{r-e_{m+i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - r_i x^{r-e_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+i}} \middle| r \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0} \right\},$$

with Lie bracket

$$[X(r), X(s)] = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (r_{m+i} s_i - r_i s_{m+i}) X(r + s - e_i - e_{m+i}).$$

(Notation $\mathfrak{H}_N$ is used in place of H_N from the introduction so as not to confuse it with operators $H(r)$).

Let $\mathfrak{H}_N^+ = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{X(r) \in \mathfrak{H}_N | |r| > 1\}$ and let $\mathfrak{h}_m$ be the Heisenberg algebra $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ p^i, q^i, \hbar | i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \right\}$ with $[p^i, q^i] = \hbar$ and all other brackets zero. The brackets for $\mathcal{P}_N$ found above demonstrate the following.

Proposition 4.1. *The map $\rho : \mathfrak{H}_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_m \to End(V)$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(X(r)) &= P^{(r)} \text{ for } |r| > 1, \\ \rho(p^i) &= P^{(e_i)}, \\ \rho(q^i) &= P^{(e_{m+i})}, \\ \rho(\hbar) &= P^{(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

is a finite dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{H}_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_m$ on V for $N = 2m \geq 2$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathfrak{L}_n = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ X(r) \in \mathfrak{H}_N | \, |r| = n + 2 \}$. In the grading $\mathfrak{H}_N = \bigoplus_{n=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{L}_n$, component $\mathfrak{L}_0$ is isomorphic to the symplectic algebra $\mathfrak{sp}_N(\mathbb{C})$ and each $\mathfrak{L}_n$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{sp}_N(\mathbb{C})$-module.*

Proof. Let E_{ab} be the matrix with a 1 in entry (a, b) and zeros elsewhere. An isomorphism between $\mathfrak{L}_0$ and $\mathfrak{sp}_N(\mathbb{C})$ is obtained by identifying basis elements

$$x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - x_{m+j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+i}}, x_{m+i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + x_{m+j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+j}} + x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+i}},$$

of $\mathfrak{L}_0$ with $E_{i,j} - E_{m+j,m+i}, E_{m+i,j} + E_{m+i,j}, E_{i,m+j} + E_{j,m+i}$ respectively from $\mathfrak{sp}_N(\mathbb{C})$, where $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Then each $\mathfrak{L}_n$ is an $\mathfrak{sp}_N(\mathbb{C})$-module via the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{L}_0$. The Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sp}_N(\mathbb{C})$ is spanned by diagonal elements $E_{i,i} - E_{m+i,m+i}$, and raising operators (positive root spaces) are spanned by $E_{i,m+i}, E_{i,j} - E_{m+j,m+i}, E_{i,m+j} + E_{j,m+i}$ for $i < j$.

Every finite dimensional simple $\mathfrak{sp}_N(\mathbb{C})$-module is a highest weight module, and so by Weyl's Theorem on complete reducibility it suffices to show that there exists a unique (up to scalar) highest weight vector for each $\mathfrak{L}_i$. This requires showing that there is a unique $v \in \mathfrak{L}_n$ which is annihilated by the adjoint action of all raising operators. Elements $X(r)$ of $\mathfrak{L}_n$ are weight vectors as $\left[x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - x_{m+i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+i}}, X(r)\right] = (r_i - r_{m+i})X(r)$ for all i, and any scalar multiple of $X(ne_1) = -x_1^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+1}}$ is a highest weight vector of $\mathfrak{L}_n$ since for any $i < j$,

$$\left[x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+i}}, x_1^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+1}}\right] = 0,$$

$$\left[x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - x_{m+j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+i}}, x_1^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+1}}\right] = 0,$$

$$\left[x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+j}} + x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+i}}, x_1^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+1}}\right] = 0.$$

It remains to show that there are no other highest weight vectors.

A weight vector $u \in \mathfrak{L}_n$ of weight λ can be expressed as a finite sum $u = \sum_{r \in I} X(r)$ where $X(r)$ has weight λ for each $r \in I$. Since $[X(s), u] = \sum_{r \in I}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(s_{m+i}r_i - s_i r_{m+i})X(r + s - e_i - e_{m+i})$, it follows by linear independence that a raising operator $X(s)$ annihilates u if and only if it annihilates each $X(r)$. So the task of finding highest weight vectors amounts to finding all r such that $[X(s), X(r)] = 0$, for $s = 2e_i, e_i + e_{m+j}$ and $e_i + e_j$ with $i < j$. Now $0 = [X(2e_i), X(r)] = -2r_{m+i}X(r + e_i - e_{m+i})$ implies that $r_{m+i} = 0$ for all i, and so $0 = [X(e_i + e_{m+j}), X(r)] = r_j X(r + e_i - e_j) - r_{m+i}X(r + e_{m+j} - e_{m+i})$ implies $r_j = 0$ for all i, j with $i < j$. This leaves only the case $r = ne_1$ and thus $X(ne_1)$ is the unique highest weight vector of $\mathfrak{L}_n$ up to scalar. □

Notice that $[X(e_i + e_{m+i}), X(ne_i)] = nX(ne_i)$ for $n \geq 0$, suggesting that in the representation of $\mathfrak{sp}_N(\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathfrak{H}_N^+$, there are infinitely many distinct eigenvalues. However the following Lemma shows that this cannot be the case, meaning that for the representation ρ of Proposition 4.1, there exists k_0 such that $\rho(X(ke_i)) = 0$ for all $k \geq k_0$. The fact that each $\mathfrak{L}_k$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{sp}_N(\mathbb{C})$-module implies that all of $\mathfrak{L}_k$ acts trivially on V for $k \geq k_0$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a Lie algebra with nonzero elements $y, y_1, y_2, \ldots$ with the property that*

$$[y, y_i] = \alpha_i y_i$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots$, and $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Then for a finite dimensional representation (U, ρ) of $\mathfrak{L}$, there are at most $(\dim U)^2 - \dim U + 1$ distinct eigenvalues for which $\rho(y_i) \neq 0$.

This Lemma and its proof are given in [1]. It is also used in the proof of Lemma 3.2 found in [2].

With this the groundwork is laid for the main result.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and let $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ be a subcategory of modules in $\mathcal{J}$ supported on $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}^N$, where $N = 2m \geq 2$. There is an equivalence of categories between the category of finite dimensional modules for $\mathfrak{H}_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_m$ and $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$. This equivalence maps V to $A_N \otimes V$ where V is a finite dimensional module for $\mathfrak{H}_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_m$. The action of $\mathcal{H}_N$ on $A_N \otimes V$ is given by $d_a(t^s \otimes v) = (s_a + \lambda_a)t^s \otimes v$, and for $r \neq 0$,*

$$h(r)(t^s \otimes v) = \sum_{i=1}^{m}(r_{m+i}s_i - r_i s_{m+i})t^{r+s} \otimes v + t^{r+s} \otimes \rho(\hbar)v + t^{r+s} \otimes \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(r_i\rho(p^i) + r_{m+i}\rho(q^i)\right)v + t^{r+s} \otimes \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0} \\ |k|>1}} \frac{r^k}{k!}\rho(X(k))v. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Following the definition of category $\mathcal{J}$, it was noted that each module in $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ may be identified with $A_N \otimes V$, where V is any weight space. Combining (J1) and (J3) gives the action for d_i, and applying Proposition 3.1 to (1) yields

$$h(r)(t^s \otimes v) = \sum_{i=1}^{m}(r_{m+i}s_i - r_i s_{m+i})t^{r+s} \otimes v + t^{r+s} \otimes \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!}P^{(k)}v,$$

for $r \neq 0$, and $h(0) = 0$ otherwise. Proposition 4.1 shows that V is a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{H}_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_m$-module, and (3) is obtained from the expression above.

On the other hand suppose V is a finite dimensional module for $\mathfrak{H}_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_m$. Identify the elements of $\mathfrak{H}_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_m$ with the $P^{(k)}$ as in Proposition 4.1 and let $H(r) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!}P^{(k)}$. This sum has only finitely many nonzero terms because in the decomposition $\mathfrak{H}_N^+ = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{L}_k$, there exits k_0 such that $\mathfrak{L}_k$ acts trivially on V for all $k \geq k_0$. The Lie bracket for $H(r)$ terms is obtained from (2), and defining the action of $h(r)$ on $A_N \otimes V$ by (1) recovers the commutator relations for $\mathcal{H}_N$. Thus $A_N \otimes V$ is a finite dimensional $\mathcal{H}_N$-module. □

5. Irreducible Representations

The action of $\mathcal{H}_N$ simplifies considerably when only simple modules from category $\mathcal{J}$ are considered. The central element of the Heisenberg algebra acts trivially in a finite dimensional irreducible representation, and so an N dimensional abelian algebra $\mathfrak{a}_N = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{C_i | i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}\}$ replaces $\mathfrak{h}_m$.

The following Lemma will be used to show that the action of $\mathfrak{H}_N^+$ reduces to that of $\mathfrak{sp}_N(\mathbb{C})$. See [3] Lemma 2.4 for the proof.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with solvable radical* $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{g})$. *Then* $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{g})]$ *acts trivially on any finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module.*

To apply this lemma let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{H}_N^+/I \otimes \mathfrak{a}_N$, where I is the ideal $I = \bigoplus_{k \geq k_0} \mathfrak{L}_k$ which acts trivially on V for some k_0. Then $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{g}) = (\bigoplus_{k>0} \mathfrak{L}_k)/I \otimes \mathfrak{a}_N$ since $[\mathfrak{L}_n, \mathfrak{L}_k] \subset \mathfrak{L}_{n+k}$. So $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{g})] = (\bigoplus_{k>0} \mathfrak{L}_k)/I$ acts trivially by Lemma 5.1, and hence the ideal $\bigoplus_{k>0} \mathfrak{L}_k$ must act trivially on V.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and let $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ be a subcategory of modules in $\mathcal{J}$ supported on $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}^N$. For $N = 2m \geq 2$, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the finite dimensional irreducible modules for $\mathfrak{sp}_N(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}_N$ and the irreducible modules in $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$. This correspondence maps a finite dimensional irreducible module V for $\mathfrak{sp}_N(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}_N$ to $A_N \otimes V$. The action of $\mathcal{H}_N$ on $A_N \otimes V$ is given by $d_a(t^s \otimes u) = (s_a + \lambda_a)t^s \otimes v$ and for all r*

$$\begin{aligned} h(r)(t^s \otimes v) = &\sum_{i=1}^{m} (r_{m+i}(s_i + \mu_{m+i}) - r_i(s_{m+i} + \mu_i))t^{r+s} \otimes v \\ &+ t^{r+s} \otimes \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} r_i r_j (\varphi(E_{i,j} - E_{m+i,m+j}) - \varphi(E_{i,m+j} + E_{j,m+i}) \\ &\qquad + \varphi(E_{m+j,i} + E_{m+i,j}))v. \end{aligned}$$

where μ_i, is the action of $C_i \in \mathfrak{a}_N$, and φ is an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sp}_N(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Since $\bigoplus_{k>0} \mathfrak{L}_k$ acts trivially on V, the action of $\mathfrak{H}_N^+$ reduces to that of $\mathfrak{L}_0 \cong \mathfrak{sp}_N(\mathbb{C})$. As mentioned above, the Heisenberg algebra is replaced by abelian algebra $\mathfrak{a}_N$, and by Schur's Lemma its elements C_i act by scalars μ_i in an irreducible representation. Thus the action in (3) reduces to that above. Theorem 4.4 gives the correspondence between these sets of modules. □

6. Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Professor Yuly Billig for his generous help on this paper.

References

[1] Y. Billig (2007), Jet Modules, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 59(4), 712-729. doi: 10.4153/CJM-2007-031-2.

[2] Y. Billig and J. Talboom (2016), Classification of Category $\mathcal{J}$ modules for Divergence Zero Vector Fields on a Torus, arXiv:1607.07067.

[3] L. Cagliero, F. Szechtman (2011), Jordan-Chevalley Decomposition in Finite Dimensional Lie Algebras, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 139(11), 309-3913. doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-2011-10827-X.

[4] J.-J. Jiang, W.-Q. Lin (2015), Partial classification of cuspidal simple modules for Virasoro-like algebra, arXiv:1508.05743.

[5] S. Eswara Rao (2004), Partial classification of modules for Lie algebra of diffeomorphisms of d-dimensional torus, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 45 , 3322-3333. doi: 10.1063/1.1769104.

[6] A. N. Rudakov (1974), Irreducible representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras of Cartan type, Math. USSR Izvestija, 8(4), 836-866. doi:10.1070/IM1974v008n04ABEH002129.